[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 13 September 2026 18:00
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester

[redacted]

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a member of the public to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed up to 3510-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

I ask that planning permission be refused.

I have concerns about the completeness of the evidence submitted with the application. Important ecological and technical work remains outstanding, and many important questions remain around fire safety, ground conditions and the suitability of the site.

I am very concerned that ground contamination has not been fully investigated. Previous land-quality work identified potential contamination issues, yet no borehole investigation has been done to fully resolve those risks.

There are also significant flooding and drainage concerns. Parts of the site are at high risk of surface-water flooding! Aspects of the drainage strategy remain unresolved.

The transport evidence also raises serious questions. The assessment of transport repeatedly refers to the wrong road! The B4011 serving the site has limited pedestrian infrastructure and a recorded history of serious collisions.

The application has not shown any permanent solution for essential infrastructure such as electricity and wastewater. I do not believe a development accommodating up to 3510 people should be approved while such fundamental matters are still unresolved.

The proposal is also ridiculously large for an isolated rural location! There is little surrounding infrastructure, limited public transport and few services within walking distance.

I am concerned that the very short representation period does not provide sufficient time for residents, councils and technical specialists to properly look at such a large and complicated application. The application documents are well over 1,000 pages. This is across multiple technical disciplines. It is not reasonable to expect them to be read and properly considered in such a short time. Ordinary planning applications carry a minimum 21 day period, and the standard Crown Development route prescribes 21 days at every stage. For this reason I ask that the representation period be extended to at least 21 days from 7 September 2026, to Monday 28 September 2026, since the 21st day is a Sunday.

This site has previously been considered for asylum accommodation. A smaller proposal was refused by an independent Planning Inspector. It was subsequently cancelled.

Altogether, these issues mean I do not believe the applicant has demonstrated that Site A, MOD Bicester, is a suitable location for a development of this scale.

I therefore request that planning permission be refused.

If the Secretary of State is, for some reason, minded to approve the application, I ask that no decision be made until outstanding work concerning drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, power supply, lighting, noise, fire safety and decommissioning has been completed and made available for proper scrutiny.

Yours faithfully,

Mrs P A Kirwan
[redacted]